



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,163	03/13/2006	Yuichi Yokoyama	KC-US030570	3666
22919 7590 10/25/2011 GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680				
EXAMINER				
HALL, ARTHUR O				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3718				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/25/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailto:giplaw.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/595,163	Applicant(s) YOKOYAMA, YUICHI	
	Examiner ARTHUR O. HALL	Art Unit 3718	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL. (3) _____.

(2) Akiyoshi Onda. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 October 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner discussed with applicant that the suggested claim amendments by the Examiner to assist the applicant with drafting the claims for patentability are being submitted herewith since the suggested amendments were inadvertently excluded from the allowance previously submitted.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/ARTHUR O. HALL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3718	
---	--